



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/624,319		07/24/2000	John E. Smee	PA000343	6628
23696	7590	04/16/2004		EXAMINER	
Qualcomm	Incorpor	rated	LIU, SHUWANG		
Patents Department 5775 Morehouse Drive				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	San Diego, CA 92121-1714			2634	9
				DATE MAILED: 04/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

*	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/624,319	SMEE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Shuwang Liu	2634					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Ja</u>	anuarv 2004.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,8-13,24-27,33-35,38-40,42-47,51 and 52</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 3-7,14-23,28-32,36,37, 41, 48, 49 and	·	·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		3					
_ ,							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior							
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)		·					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/624,319

Art Unit: 2634

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection because of the amendments.

2. Applicant's arguments filed 01/30/04 regarding 33-35, 38-40, 42-47, 51 and 52 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Examiner has thoroughly reviewed Applicant's arguments but firmly believes that the cited reference reasonably and properly meet the claimed limitation as rejected.

Applicant's argument – Claims 33 has been amended to include the limitation of second processing a multipath of the one or more streams of samples. Serizawa et al. does not disclose a multipath. "

Examiner's response – As disclosed in column 1, lines 20-60 and column 8, lines 1-52, Serizawa et al. discloses a multipath.

Claim Objections

- 3. Claims 4, 5 and 41-47 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - (1) Regarding claim 4 and 5, the limitations are repeated the limitations in claim
 - 1. Therefore, claims 4 and 5 should be canceled; and
 - (2) Regarding claim 41, the amendment is non-complaint amendment (37CFR 1.121).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 8, 9 and 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims recite the limitation "the combining". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim since "the combining" was not introduced before.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1, 10-13, 33-35, 38-40, 42-47 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Serizawa et al. (US 5,283,531)

As shown in figures 3-6, 11-16 and 33-37, Serizawa et al. discloses a method for processing one or more signals in a communication system, the method comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/624,319

Art Unit: 2634

(1) regarding claims 1 and 33:

receiving and processing the one or more signals to provide one or more streams of samples ((112 and 114 in figure 5); and

first processing (116 or 128) the one or more streams of samples to provide a first stream of recovered symbols (column 8, lines 1-6 and column 10, lines 14-25), wherein the first processing includes

equalizing (with adaptive equalizer) the one or more streams of samples with an equalizer (see 128) to generate symbol estimates (output from 128), and

processing (demodulator 128) the symbol estimates to provide the first stream of recovered symbols;

second processing (130) a multipath of the one or more streams of samples with one or more rake receiver (demodulator 130) to provide a second stream of recovered symbols (column 10, lines 26-39);

estimating (132 and 134) a signal quality associated with each of the first and second processing (column 10, lines 40-56); and

selecting (136) the first or second processing based on estimated signal qualities associated therewith (column 10, lines 57-67).

(2) regarding claims 10-12 and 34:

further comprising:

adapting coefficients of each of one or more filters within the equalizer (figures 11 and 33), wherein the adapting is performed for each filter based on filtering samples from the filter 9see figure 11).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/624,319

Art Unit: 2634

(3) regarding claims 13 and 35:

wherein the coefficients of each filter within the equalizer are initialized using information derived from the one or more rake receiver (column 25, line 41-column 26, line 19)

(4) regarding claim 38:

A receiver comprising:

one or more pre-processors (112 and 114 in figure 5) operative to receive and process the one or more signals to provide one or more streams of samples;

an equalizer (116 or 128) coupled to the one or more pre-processors and operative to receive, combine, and equalize the one or more streams of samples to generate symbol estimates;

a post processor (696 in figure 37) coupled to the equalizer and operative to receive and process the symbol estimates to provide a first stream of recovered symbols); and

second processing (130) a multipath of the one or more streams of samples with one or more rake receiver (demodulator 130) to provide a second stream of recovered symbols (column 10, lines 26-39);

(5) regarding claim 39:

further comprising:

one or more rake receivers (118 or 130) coupled to the one or more preprocessors and operative to receive and process the one or more streams of samples to generate a second stream of recovered symbols.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/624,319

Art Unit: 2634

(6) regarding claims 40 and 52 (see rejection for claim 38):

a controller (120) operative to receive estimates of a signal quality associated with each of the first and second streams of recovered symbols, and selecting (124 and 122) the first or second processing based on estimated signal qualities associated therewith.

(7) regarding claim 42:

wherein the equalizer further includes a coefficient adjustment element coupled to the one or more filters and operative to adapt one or more sets of coefficients for the one or more filters (figures 11 and 33, column 25, line 41-column 26, line 19).

(8) regarding claim 43:

wherein the coefficient adjustment element is operative to adapt the set of coefficients for each filter based on the filtered samples received from the filter (figure 3, column 25, line 41-column 26, line 19).

(9) regarding claim 44:

wherein the coefficient adjustment element is operative to adapt the one or more sets of coefficients for the one or more filters based on the symbol estimates (figures 33, column 25, line 41-column 26, line 19).

(10) regarding claim 45:

a slicer (28) coupled to the summer (278) as recited in claim (figure 33).

(11) regarding claim 46:

wherein the coefficient adjustment element is operative to implement an adaptation algorithm selected from the group consisting of least mean square (LMS),

Application/Control Number: 09/624,319

Art Unit: 2634

recursive least square (RLS), and direct matrix inversion (DMI) algorithms (figure 33, column 25, line 41-column 26, line 19).

(12) regarding claim 47:

wherein the equalizer further includes one or more multipliers respectively coupled to the one or more filters, each multiplier operative to receive and multiply the filtered samples with a respective scaling factor to provide scaled samples, and wherein the summer couples to the one or more multipliers and is operative to receive and sum the scaled samples from the one or more multipliers to provide the symbol estimates (figure 33).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 2 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Serizawa et al. (US 5283531) in view of Visotsky et al. (US 6175588).

Serizawa et al. discloses all of the subject matter as described above except for specifically teaching a PN despreader and a decover element composed in the post processor as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09/624,319

Art Unit: 2634

Visotsky et al., in the same field of endeavor, teaches a processor comprising a despreader (106) and a decover element (130) as recited in claim.

It would be desirable to have the high data rate and bandwidth efficiency in the communication system by using CDMA. One skilled in the art would have clearly recognized that in order to enable the mobile station to implement synchronous acquisition and tracking operations, a pilot signal is superimposed on the data symbol sequence. It is also well known that the orthogonal sequences currently used in CDMA system are Walsh codes of length 64. Walsh codes are used in forward CDMA link to separate users. In any given sector, each forward code channel is assigned a distinct Walsh code. The receiver despreads the chips by using the same Walsh code used at the transmitter so that the symbols or digits are recovered without any error. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the processor of Visotsky et al. with the despreader and the decover to the demodulator of Serizawa et al. in order to allow the receiver to demodulate spread spectrum signal with high data rate and bandwidth efficiency. In so doing, the receiver facilitates the synchronization for demodulating of the spread spectrum signal so that the quality of the received data is improved. Furthermore, in so doing, the receiver facilitates separating different users from the composite spread signal so that the channel security is improved and the data can be recovered without errors.

Application/Control Number: 09/624,319 Page 9

Art Unit: 2634

Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shuwang Liu whose telephone number is (703) 308-9556.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin, can be reached at (703) 305-4714.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Art Unit: 2634

Page 10

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Shuwang Liu Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2634

April 6, 2004